Dental Treatments during full mouth rehabilitation under General Anesthesia in Healthy and special needs pediatric dental patients in Baghdad, Iraq.

Sami Malik Abdulhameed; B.D.S.; M.Sc; & Certificate Pediatric Dentistry Speciality Department of Pediatric Dentistry and prevention, College of Dentistry, Baghdad University.

ABSTRACT

Dental treatments under general anesthesia (GA) in healthy and disabled children are rarely reported. This retrospective study evaluated the characteristics and treatment modalities performed under general anesthesia in pediatric dental patients at Baghdad Private Hospitals, and compared the different treatment patterns performed in healthy children and children with special health care needs.

The data were reviewed in pediatric patients from 1 to 18 years old who underwent dental treatment performed under general anesthesia from January 1990 to December 2011. Patients with special health care needs who had at least one type of mental or physical disability were assigned to the disabled group (Group A) and the other healthy patients were assigned to the healthy group (Group B). The treatment modalities of operative restoration, crowns, pulp therapy, sealant and extracted teeth were compared in the two groups.

A total of 105 patients were assigned to group B and 80 to group A. The patients in group A were significantly older than those in group B. There were no significant differences in the mean number of teeth treated between the two groups. However, there was a significantly greater mean total number of teeth extracted in group A patients (p < 0.001). In addition, there were more stainless steel crown reconstructions (p < 0.05) and pulp therapies (p < 0.001) performed in group B patients. In group A, there were no significant differences in the total number of teeth extracted between the 1-3 year old patients and the 3-6 year-old patients (p = 0.99). For very young children or those with special health care needs, dental treatment performed under general anesthesia is beneficial and efficient. The findings of this study suggest that underlying medical or mental conditions may influence the dental condition and treatment modality provided.

Key words: dental care, disabled, general anesthesia, dental treatment, teeth extracted

Introduction

Pediatric Dentist provide oral care and solve problems for infants, children and adolescents and young persons with special care needs. The majority of children can be adequately treated with Non pharmacologic behavior modification techniques such as the tell-show-do technique. However, some children who have extensive dental problems cannot cooperate due to a lack of psychological or emotion- al maturity and/or mental, physical or medical dis- abilities, and their dental treatment needs to be com- pelted with pharmacological behavior management, such as nitrous oxide/oxygen sedation or general anesthesia.⁽¹⁻⁴⁾

Since 1988, comprehensive dental treatment under general anesthesia has been provided for many patients in the operating room at Baghdad Private Hospitals. These patients consisted of a certain percentage of healthy children with substantial dental needs who were extremely uncooperative or uncommunicative with no expectation that the behavior would soon improve. Other patients with certain physical, mental, or medically compromised conditions were possibly unable to tolerate treatment under local anesthesia alone or together with inhale- tin sedation. Dental treatment performed under gen- earl anesthesia allows a total oral rehabilitation in a single course, including a full mouth prophylaxis treatment, operative dental restoration, pulp therapy, tooth extraction, stainless steel crown reconstruction, and preventive resin restoration.

The purposes of this retrospective study were first, to evaluate the characteristics and treatment modalities under general anesthesia in pediatric den- tall patients in these hospitals between 1990 and 2011, and second, to compare the different treatment patterns between healthy children and children with special health care needs.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

The database for this study involved all patients treated under general anesthesia in the Baghdad Private Hospitals from January 1990 to December 2011.All 185 patients received dental and anesthetic preoperative assessments. Dental assessment included a dental and medical history, clinical examination, oral radiographs and appropriate hematological tests. A provisional treatment plan for each patient was formulated and advice on prevention was given to the parents. A consultant anesthesiologists made an assessment of the patient's suitability for general anesthesia and commented on any precautions to be taken. On the day of the operation, the treatment plan was finalized and consent was obtained. Most dental treatments were carried out under general anesthesia with nasoendotracheal intubation. A very small num- ber of patients with limited mouth opening capability or other conditions received oral intubation. All den- tal treatments were performed by one Pediatric Dentist. Unless there were other adverse conditions, the patient was discharged one hour after recovery.

The data from their personal profiles were retrospectively reviewed, including general history, dental history, reasons for general anesthesia and treatment modalities, such as the number of restorative primary teeth, and restorative permanent teeth, which were the total number of teeth undergoing operative restoration, stainless steel crowns and sealant procedures. If the tooth was treated with preventive resin, we assigned it to the operative restored tooth group. We also recorded the number of pulp treated primary teeth, pulp treated permanent teeth, extracted primary teeth, and extracted permanent teeth, which included supernumerary teeth.

Patients were divided into two groups; those with special health care needs who had at least one type of mental or physical disability were assigned to the disabled group (group A) and those with neither mental nor physical disabilities were included in the healthy group (group B).

The data were recorded and analyzed using a twosample t-test, with p < 0.05 indicating signifi- cance.

RESULTS

There was a total of 105 patients in the database. patients were assigned to Group B and 80 to Group A. The age of the patients studied ranged from 1year 7 months to 17 years 10 months. For group B, the age distribution was 1 year 7 months to 12 years 1 month and the average age was 3.6 years, while that in group A was 2 years 3 months to 17 years 10 months and the average age was 7.2 years.

The patients in group A were significantly older than those in group B (p < 0.001). The Fig. 1 shows the age distribution in both groups.

. The boy to girl ratios in group B and A were 1.7 to 1 and 1.9 to 1, respectively. Most patients in group A were special needs patients. The two major underlying problems were mental retardation (36.6%) and



Fig. 1 Age distribution of the healthy (B) and disabled (A) groups. The patients in group A were significantly older than those in group B (7.2 3.9 y/o vs 3.7 1.9 y/o, p < 0.001).

autism (29.5%). Other problems includ- ed cerebral palsy (14.3%), developmental delays (8.9%) and epilepsy (6.3%).

Treatment modalities

The treatment modalities and specific number of treated teeth in both groups are shown in Table 1. The mean numbers of teeth treated in group B and group A were 13.2 and 13.6, respectively. There were no significant differences in the total number of teeth treated between groups.

The mean number of extracted teeth was significantly greater in group A patients for both primary and permanent teeth. Although there was no significant difference in the total number of restored teeth, there were more primary teeth restored than permanent teeth treated in group B patients. Also, there were no significant differences in the number of teeth receiving operative restoration and sealant procedures. However, there were more stainless steel crowns and pulp therapies performed in group B patients.

The majority of patients were 1~6 years old. Table 2 demonstrates the treatment pattern in patients who were younger than 6 years old. There were 85patients in group B and 32 patients in group A in this age group. There were no significant differences in the mean number of stainless steel crowns, pulp ther- apies, sealant procedures and total teeth treated between the two groups. Group A patients had sig- nificantly more extractions and fewer restoration treatments, especially operative treatments, than Group B patients.

In the group between 6 and 12 years old, there were 21 patients in group B and 43 patients in group A. Extractions of supernumerary or impacted teeth were performed in 20 of 21 group B patients, but in only 4 of 43 group A patients. The mean numbers of teeth treated for most modalities were greater in group A, except for the total number of permanent teeth extracted (p = 0.553) and the mean number of teeth treated by a sealant procedure (p = 0.453).

In the group between 12 to 18 years old, there were 25 patients assigned to group A, but only one to group B. That patient received dental treatment under general anesthesia for extraction of an impact- ed supernumerary tooth. A descriptive sample of these two groups was not included in the analysis.

Table 3 shows the mean number of teeth treated with various modalities between patients from 1 to 3 years old and 3 to 6 years old in both groups. In group A, there were no significant differences in the number of teeth treated by any of the treatment modalities in these 2 age groups. However, in group B, the 3-6 year-olds had more total teeth extracted and more stainless steel crowns than 1-3 year-olds group. Furthermore, the patients between 1~3 years old in group B had more operative restorations than did those between 3~6 years old. There were no sig- nificant differences for the other treatment modali- ties, such as pulp treatments, sealants, total number of restored teeth and treated teeth, between these two age groups in group A.

	Group B	Group A	<i>p</i> value			
	(n = 105)	(n = 80)				
Operative restoration	7.9 ± 4.3	7.9 ± 4.7	0.95			
Crown	4.3 ± 3.0	3.6 ± 2.9	0.04			
Pulp therapy	5.6 ± 3.7	3.9 ± 3.4	< 0.001			
Sealant	0.2 ± 0.9	0.2 ± 0.8	0.91			
Total number of teeth restored						
Primary teeth	12.4 ± 5.8	7.9 ± 5.8	< 0.001			
Permanent teeth	0.1 ± 0.5	3.8 ± 5.7	< 0.001			
All teeth	12.5±5.7	11.7 ± 4.2	0.17			
Total number of teeth extracted						
Primary teeth	0.7 ± 1.5	1.6 ± 2.7	0.001			
Permanent teeth	0.0 + 0.1	0.2 ± 0.7	0.005			
All teeth	0.7 ±1.5	1.9 ± 2.8	< 0.001			
Total number of teeth treated	13.2 ± 5.7	13.6 ± 4.2	0.53			
Data are presented as the mean +SD						

Table 1. Dental Treatment in Healthy (B) and Disabled (A) Patients from 1~18 Years Old

te presented as the mean \pm SL

Table 2 . Dental Treatment in Healthy (B) and Disabled (A)	Patients from 1~6 Years Old
---	-----------------------------

	Group B (n = 85)	Group A (n = 32)	<i>p</i> value		
Operative restoration	8.8 ± 3.7	7.1 ± 3.7	0.005		
Crown	5.0 ± 2.7	5.1 ± 2.7	0.68		
Pulp therapy	6.4 ± 3.4	5.6 ± 3.8	0.19		
Sealant	0.2 ± 1.0	0.1 ± 0.5	0.38		
Total number of teeth restored					
Primary teeth	14.0 ± 4.1	12.3 ± 3.9	0.008		
Permanent teeth	0	0.01 + 0.4	0.09		
All teeth	14.0 ± 4.1	12.4 ± 3.9	0.01		
Total number of teeth extracted					
Primary teeth	0.7 ± 1.6	1.9 ± 3.4	0.03		
Permanent teeth	0.0 + 0.1	0	0.57		
All teeth	0.7 ± 1.6	1.9 ± 3.4	0.03		
Total number of teeth treated	14.7 ± 4.2	14.2 ± 3.8	0.45		

Data are presented as the mean \pm SD

Table 3. Dental Treatment in Healthy (B) and Disabled (A) Patients 1~3 Years and 3~6 Years Old

	Group B			Group A		
	Oloup D			Oroup A		
	1~3 y/o n = 54	3~6 y/o n =31	<i>p</i> value	1~3 y/o n = 8	3~6 y/o n =24	<i>p</i> value
Operative restoration	9.5±3.3	7.9±3.9	0.02	6.0 ± 3.0	7.3±3.7	0.77
Crown	4.3±2.6	5.7±2.6	0.004	6.2±2.7	4.9±2.7	0.41
Pulp therapy	6.0±2.8	6.7±3.9	0.39	7.2±4.0	5.3±3.7	0.25
Sealant	0.3±1.1	0.1±0.6	0.56	0	0.1±0.5	0.92
Total number of teeth restored	14.2±3.9	13.7±4.2	0.79	12.2±3.8	12.4±3.9	0.99
Total number of teeth extracted	0.3±0.8	1.2±2.1	< 0.001	1.7±2.4	1.8±3.6	0.99
Total number of teeth treated	14.5±4.0	15.0±4.3	0.73	14.0±4.5	14.2±3.7	0.99

Data are presented as the mean \pm SD

DISCUSSION

Dental treatment performed under general anes- thesia in a hospital environment provides great effi- cacy and safety for particular groups of patients, such as very young or disabled children.(3,5-7) In our study, 105 young healthy children (mean age 3.7 years) and 80 children with special health care needs (mean age

7.2 years) received treatment for 13.5 teeth on average during a single operation.

The majority of the healthy patients were under 3 years old (50.8%). In that group, the greatest difficulty was behavior problems combined with severe early childhood caries. Therefore, behavior problems during dental treatment were the main reason for seeking treatment under general anesthesia.⁽⁸⁾

In our study, after excluding difficult surgeries for supernumerary or impacted teeth, there were 165 (89.1%) young children in Group B who were treated under general anesthesia because of a lack of cooperation. The percentage was higher than that reported by Tsai et al. (69.9%),⁽⁹⁾ O'Sullivan and Curzon (76%),⁽¹⁰⁾ Wang et al. (40%),⁽⁷⁾ Vermenlen et al. (42%),⁽⁴⁾ and Tarján et al. (49%).⁽¹¹⁾

The ratios of boys to girls in Groups B and A were 1.7 and 1.9, respectively. This was similar to the study by Al-Eheideb et al (1.7:1).⁽¹²⁾ That ratio was higher than that of Tsai et al. (1.2:1).⁽⁹⁾ Boys may be less cooperative with dental treatment than girls.

Harrison et al. found that a greater number of extractions were carried out for chronically sick chil- dren, than healthy children with similar findings observed by Tsai et al.^(9,13) and in the present study. Before the age of 6 years old, there was no difference in the total number of teeth treated in either group. However, the number of extracted teeth was greater in the disabled group. Underlying medical conditions may affect the treatment modality provided. The dentist may prefer a less complex dental procedure for a disabled child to avoid complications or the necessity for retreatment. For example, a tooth extraction is preferable to pulp therapy for periapical pathological teeth. Ibricevic et al. did not find any differences in terms of the extraction of teeth between healthy and disabled groups.⁽¹⁴⁾

However, in their study, the total number of procedures were significantly higher in the healthy group than the disabled group.

In the 1-6 year age group, the total number of restored teeth were greater in the healthy group. However, in the 1-18 year age group there was no significant difference between the two groups and there were more stainless steel crowns and pulptherapies performed in the healthy patients.

The patients in the disabled group were significantly older than those in the healthy group. Therefore, there were more permanent teeth that needed to be treated. In permanent teeth, crowns and pulp therapy are too complicated to be completed in one appointment, and therefore, dentists may choose alternative treatment, such as operative restoration or extraction. For the patients between 6 and 12 years old, the number of teeth treated were usually higher in the disabled group, however that was not the case for the total number of permanent teeth extracted. That was attributed to the different reasons for the dental treat- ment under general anesthesia in the two groups. One of the major reasons for dental treatment under general anesthesia in the healthy group was difficulty in extracting impacted teeth.

In Singapore, Vignehsa et al. found that disabled children had higher levels of oral disease and received less dental care.⁽¹⁵⁾

The same findings were presented by Nunn et al.,⁽¹⁶⁾ Shyama et al.,⁽¹⁷⁾ Gizani et al.⁽¹⁸⁾ and Mielnik-Blaszczak et al and Chia-Ling Tsai, et al..^(19,20,21) Mielnik-Blaszczak et al. also found that the dental treatment index was lower, especially in deciduous teeth. In the present study, more teeth were extracted and stainless steel crowns applied in the healthy group after 3 years old. Tooth decay becomes more severe when patients get older. However in group A, tooth decay was extensive or teeth need to be extracted before the patients were 3 years old. There was no difference in the various treatment modalities between the 1~3 and 3~6 year old children in the disabled group. Disabled children have a variety of medical conditions from very early in life regardless of their oral condition. As a result, we found more complicated dental problems in this group. The non-significant difference with low statistical power was due to the small sample of disabled patients from 1~3 years old. Furthermore, oral health education and early inter- vention for dental problems in the disabled group needs to be improved in our society.

Conclusions

Dental treatment performed under general anesthesia is necessary for very young children or those with special health care needs.

The underlying medical or mental condition may influence the dental condition and treatment modality provided. For disabled children, the dentist may prefer a dental procedure that is less complex or has a lower risk of complications, such as extraction.

REFERENCES

1. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry Clinical Affairs Committee. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry Council on Clinical Affairs-Guideline on behavior guid- ance for the pediatric dental patient. Pediatr Dent 2005- 2006;27:92-100.

2. Roeters J, Burgersdijk R. The need for general anesthesia for the dental treatment of mentally handicapped patients: a follow-up study. ASDC J Dent Child 1985;52:344-6.

3. Enger DJ, Mourino AP. A survey of 200 pediatric dental general anesthesia cases. ASDC J Dent Child 1985;52:36-

41.

4. Vermeulen M, Vinckier F, Vandenbroucke J. Dental gen- eral anesthesia: clinical characteristics of 933 patients. ASDC J Dent Child 1991;58:27-30.

5. Bohaty B, Spencer P. Trends in dental treatment rendered under general anesthesia, 1978 to 1990. J Clin Pediatr

Dent 1992;16:222-4.

6. Mason C, Holt RD, Rule DC. The changing pattern of day-care treatment for children in a London dental teach- ing hospital. Br Dent J 1995;179:136-40.

7. Wong FS, Fearne JM, Brook AH. Planning future general anaesthetic services in paediatric dentistry on the basis of evidence: an analysis of children treated in the Day Stay Centre at the Royal Hospitals NHS Trust, London, between 1985-95. Int Dent J 1997;47:285-92.

8. Savanheimo N, Vehkalahti MM, Pihakari A, Numminen M. Reasons for and parental satisfaction with children's dental care under general anaesthesia. Int J Paediatr Dent 2005;15:448-54.

9. Tsai CL, Tsai YL, Lin YT, Lin YT. A retrospective study of dental treatment under general anesthesia of children with or without a chronic illness and/or a disability. Chang Gung Med J 2006;29:412-8.

10. O'Sullivan EA, Curzon ME. The efficacy of comprehen- sive dental care for children under general anesthesia. Br Dent J 1991;171:56-8.

11. Tarján I, Mikecz G, Denes J. General anaesthesia of out-patients in pedodontics. J Int Assoc Dent Child

1990;20:59-61.

12. Al-Eheideb AA, Herman NG. Outcomes of dental proce- dures performed on children under general anesthesia. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2003;27:181-3.

13. Harrison MG, Roberts GJ. Comprehensive dental treat- ment of healthy and chronically sick children under intu- bation general anaesthesia during a 5-year period. Br Dent J 1998;184:503-6.

14. Ibricevic H, Al-Jame Q, Honkala S. Pediatric dental pro- cedures under general anesthesia at the Amiri Hospital in Kuwait. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2001;25:337-42.

15. Vignehsa H, Soh G, Lo GL, Chellappah NK. Dental health of disabled children in Singapore. Aust Dent J 1991;36:151-6.

16. Nunn JH, Gordon PH, Carmichael CL. Dental disease and current treatment needs in a group of physically handi- capped children. Community Dent Health 1993;10:389-96.

17. Shyama M, Al-Mutawa SA, Morris RE, Sugathan T, Honkala E. Dental caries experience of disabled children and young adults in Kuwait. Community Dent Health 2001;18:181-6.

18. Gizani S, Declerck D, Vinckier F, Martens L, Marks L, Goffin G. Oral health condition of 12-year-old handi- capped children in Flanders (Belgium). Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1997;25:352-7.

19. Mielnik-Blaszczak M, Krawczyk D, Pels E, Michalowski A, Borowska M. Needs for dental treatment in handi- capped children. Ann Univ Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Med 2003;58:1-6

20. Chia-Ling Tsai, et al A Retrospective Study of Dental Treatment under General Anesthesia of Children with or without A Chronic Illness and/or A Disability Chang Gung Med J 2006;29:412-8

21. Postoperative complications of pediatric dental general anesthesia

procedure provided in Jeddah hospitals, Saudi Arabia Najat Farsi*1, Rania Ba'akdah2, Abdulaziz Boker3 and Abdullah Almushayt1 BMC Oral Health 2009, 9:6 doi:10.1186/1472-68319-6