# Mechanical properties of PEEK as an orthodontic fixed retainer after adding of reinforcing materials(A systematic review)

Fatima M. Jawad <sup>1\*</sup>, Wael A. Jabaar <sup>2\*</sup> Fakri A. Al- Fatlawi <sup>3\*</sup>

College of Health and Medical Techniques, Middle Technical university, Baghdad, Iraq 1

College of Health and Medical Techniques, Middle Technical university, Baghdad, Iraq 2

3 Al-Farabi University, Baghdad, Iraq

 $*Correspondent\ email: \{\ \underline{ecc4008@mtu.edu.iq}\ , \underline{alwaeliwael@mtu.edu.iq}\ , \underline{fakhrialfatlawi@gmail.com}$ 

**Submit**: 27/6/2025 | **Accepted**: 19/8/2025 | **Published**: 20/8/2025

#### Abstract

The aim of this systematic investigation was to provide a thorough analysis of the ways in which reinforcements effect on the mechanical properties of dental PEEK materials. A digital systematic review was undertaken in multiple databanks: searches were made in Science Direct, PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, combined with a manual search of scientific literature. Papers published between 2005 and 2024 were collected and reviewed, and relevant publications were selected to be included in this review. Studies established the influence of supplementary elements on the mechanical characteristics of polyetheretherketone. Conclusion: Incorporation of inorganic compounds into the PEEK matrix may enhanced its mechanical properties, thereby improving its suitability for high-load dental applications, particularly in the fabrication of fixed orthodontic retainers.

Keywords: polyether-ether ketone (PEEK), reinforcement material, orthodontic fixed retainer.

#### Introduction

After receiving orthodontic treatment, teeth often revert to their original place. Every effort is taken to have them positioned as planned[1]. The method of applying orthodontic retainers to keep teeth throughout treatment is termed retention, and it's a significant component of orthodontic therapy[2]. The most frequent form of retention utilized by orthodontists is to construct retainers. Today, orthodontists employ metal straps and fiber-based composites (FRC) to build retainers. The negative characteristics of metal wire include a lack of aesthetics, the risk for metal allergy, and the distortion of MRI pictures. Additionally, little damaged wires or wires that do not adjust passively to the teeth might potentially contribute to undesirable tooth movement[3],[4].Retainers should have features like aesthetics, biocompatibility, and the potential to adapt to the lingual surface[1]. Polyetheretherketone is used in the dentistry business as an alternative for metal alliances[1]. PEEK is a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon that is semicrystalline and was initially created by Victrex PLC in the early 80's[5]. polyaryletherketone polymer family, including PEEK, is made of aromatic benzene molecules that are connected by functional groups like or Ketone[6]. PEEK is becoming ether increasingly popular in the dentistry and medical professions because of its exceptional mechanical qualities, including resilience, elasticity, and flexibility. elastic modulus is 3-4 GPa, and its flexural modulus is 140-170 MPa. These values are comparable to those of dental enamel and dentin, rather than to multi-stranded stainless steel wire[7]. PEEK has one of the best biocompatibility ratios in comparison to other materials, it is nontoxic, and resistant to hydrolysis[8]. Because PEEK is radiolucent, it helps decrease the artifacts of magnetic resonance imaging, and its flexural strength is 140-170 MPa[9]. It's an inert material that has no detrimental effects, and it's tolerated by the surrounding tissues. It's a full alternative for folks who have allergies to titanium and other metals. PEEK is more visually pleasing and has a beige, gray, and not metallic tone[10]. It's feasible to process using numerous it commercial techniques at temperatures between 350 and 420°C, including via injection molding, extrusion, compression molding, and additive manufacturing[11]. As a consequence, numerous compounds such diamond-like carbon (DLC), titanium (Ti), gold, and titanium dioxide (TiO2) are integrated into the surface of PEEK. These coating materials have the potential to bioactivity dramatically increase the PEEK[12]. Several changes have been made to its surface and chemical composition in order to and increase the mechanical biological capabilities of PEEK[13]. The mechanical hardness of PEEK is lower than other materials, which may lead it to shatter. To avoid this difficulty, PEEK may be enhanced with fibers like glass, carbon, and other particles that have a appropriate ratio of strength elasticity[14]. Recently, various research have directed their efforts to the production of PEEKbiomaterials that have mechanical, physical, and barrier characteristics intended for application in restorative dentistry. spherical inorganic nanoparticles are introduced into the PEEK matrix[11]. By integrating inorganic components into the PEEK matrix, the thermal stability of the resultant nanocomposites may be increased[15]. This study aims to assess how fillers affect the physical characteristics of PEEK materials.

# Materials and Methodologies

## **Study Methodology**

Digital investigation in Google Scholar, PubMed, and Science Direct to find the related articles published between 2005 and 2024 through The Keywords: dental peek, reinforcement material and orthodontic fixed retainer.

#### **Inclusion Criteria**

The 2005–2024 research which applies reinforcement material to the peek and it is used in dentistry.

#### **Exclusion Criteria**

Case studies, literature reviews, pilot project research, and studies which used peek material in industries other than dentistry . In addition to studies written in languages other than English.

Table: studied that discussed reinforcement materials used with the PEEK

Ye	Title	purpose	Reinforc	Concl
ar			ement	usion
&				
ref.				
202	The	To study	varying	The
3	mechani	the bond	amounts	mecha
[16	cal	strength	of glass	nical
]	character	of shear	fiber	proper
	istics,	type, the	(0%,	ties of
	safety	mechani	30%,	the
	and	cal	40%, and	compo
	strength	propertie	50%).	sites
	of the	s of		were
	glass	polyethe		signifi
	fiber as a	retherket		cantly
	matrix	one		impro
	material	(PEEK-		ved,
	and as a	GF)		especi
	post in	cores		ally
	PEEK	and		the
	composit	posts in		elastic
	es as	terms of		modul
	well as	safety		us of
	the core	and		PEEK-
	of the	efficienc		GF50,
	material.	y.		which
				was
				compa



				rable	3	ory	of this	titanium	averag
				to	[17	investiga	study	dioxide	e bond
				dentin	]	tion on	was to		strengt
				•		the	examine		h
202	The	This	addition			influenc	the		betwee
3	character	study	3% wt.	Whe		e of	_		n the
[15	ization	aims to	Nano	n		polyethe	strength		erasur
]	and	increase	silicon	nano		retherket	between		es of PEEK
	evaluatio n of	PEEK's mechani	dioxide powder	parti cles		one (PEEK)	various PEEK		that is
	n of PEEK/	cal and	(SiO <sub>2</sub> )	are		content	materials		low in
	silica	physical	(5102)	dispe		on the	and self-		fill is
	composit	qualities		rsed		bond	adhesive		the
	es.	by an		evenl		strength	and		lowest
		extra 3%		y		of	tradition		, while
		weight.		over		various	al		the
		Nano-		the		bonded	adhesive		averag
		SiO <sub>2</sub>		PEE		composit	s, the		e bond
				K matri		es.	latter of which		strengt h
				x, the			lacks		betwee
				stren			compone		n the
				gth			nts for		erasur
				of			filler		es of
				the					PEEK
				SiO2					that is
				/PEE					unfille
				K					d is
				comp osite					the
									highes t
				may be	202	Enhance	The goal	Two	
				impr	2	ment of	of this	varieties	Com
				oved	[18	the	study	of	pared
				with	j	Mechani	was to	inorganic	to the
				out		cal	examine	bioactive	pure
				dimi		Qualities	the	nanoparti	PEE
				nishi		and	impact	cles exist:	K
				ng its		Bioactivi	of	forsterite	mate
				hydr		ty of Silicate-	various	(FT) has	rial, the
				opho bic		Based	nanopart icle	a chemical	addit
				quali		Biocera	kinds	compositi	ion
				ties		mics in	and	on of	of 20
						combina	quantitie	Mg2SiO4	perce
						tion with	s on the	, and	nt
202	Explorat	The goal	5%, 20%	The		Poly(eth	mechani	bioglass	BG

er-ether-	cal	(BG) has	and					respe
ketone)	capabilit	a	FT					ctivel
Nanoco	ies,	chemical	raise					у
mposites	surface	compositi	d the					
for	features,	on of 45	elasti	202	TO	TC1 1	1	TD1
Prostheti c Dental	and	percent	C mod	202	The	The goal	amorpho	The
Implanto	biologic al	SiO2, 24.5	mod ulus	1	surface character	of this	us nano-	microh
logy.	aspects	percent	of	[11]	istics	study was to	SiO <sub>2</sub> parti cles.	ardnes s,
logy.	of these	CaO,	the	]	and	examine	CICS.	stretch
	materials	24.5	mate		mechani	the way		iness,
	, their	percent	rial		cal	that		and
	potential	Na2O,	by		propertie	hydrophi		elastici
	for usage	and 6	25%		s of	lic and		ty of
	in dental	percent	and		nanosilic	hydroph		the
	implants	P2O5.	60%,		a (SiO2)	obic		PEEK
	is		respe		integrate	nano-		polym
	exciting		ctivel		d into	SiO <sub>2</sub>		er
	Implanto		y.		polyethe	particles		were ·
	logy.		Com		retherket	impact		increas
			pared to the		one (PEEK)	the mechani		ed by 10%
			pure		composit	cal and		with
			PEE		es for	surface		hydrop
			K		dental	character		hobic
			mate		prosthesi	istics of		nano-
			rial,		s and	PEEK-		SiO2
			the		restorati	based		
			addit		ve	composit		
			ion		dentistry	es		
			of		have	intended		
			20wt		been	for use		
			% BG		research	in		
			and		ed.	dentures		
			FT			and related		
			may			disciplin		
			boost			es of		
			the			restorati		
			flexu			ve		
			ral			dentistry		
			stren					
			gth	201	The	This		The
			by	9	utilisatio	investiga	Carbon	mecha
			5%	[19	n of	tion's	Fiber	nical
			and	]	carbon	objective		charac
			40%,		fiber-	was to		teristic

	Г	T				T	Г	Т	T -
	reinforce	analyze		s of		(PEEK)	different		in
	d PEEK	the		the		on the	concentr		PEEK
	composit	microstr		pure		flexibilit	ations of		by
	es via	uctures		PEEK		y and	SiO2 in		pre-
	3D	and		sample		tensile	conjunct		treatin
	printing	mechani		s are		strength	ion with		g it
	in	cal		equiva		of resin	a control		with a
	orthoped	character		lent to		cement	PEEK		saline
	ics and	istics of		those		joints.	that had		agent
	dentistry	FDM-		of the		Joines.	20		that
	dentistry	made		regular			percent		adhere
	•	PEEK		PEEK					
							TiO2, it		
		and		pieces.			demonst		the .
		CFR-		The			rated a		resin
		PEEK		CFR-			greater		may
		samples.		PEEK			capacity		lead to
				sample			to reacts		a
				s had a			with		suitabl
				much			saline		e
				larger			agents		connec
				tenden			that		tion
				cy to			couple		betwee
				displa			with		n
				y			silica.		PEEK
				mecha			The		and
				nical			effect of		resin
				qualiti			the SiO2		cemen
				es that			content		t, but,
				are			in PEEK		this
				superi			on its		may
				or to			bondabil		have
				those			ity.		an
				of					undesi
				printed					rable
				PEEK					influen
				alone.					ce on
				arone.					the
									mecha
201	The	This	Different	This					nical
9									charac
	influenc	investiga	silicon	study					
[20	e of the	tion	dioxide(S	shows					teristic
]	SiO2	contraste	iO2)cont	that · ·	201	TC1	TD1 1	1	S.
	concentr	d the	ents	raising	201	The	The goal	graphene	With
	ation in	experim	20%,40%	the	9	tribologi	of this	nano	greater
	polyethe	ental	,50% wt	SiO <sub>2</sub>	[21	cal and	initiative	platelet	concen
	retherket	PEEK		concen	]	mechani	is to		tration
	one	with		tration		cal	build		s of

	character	GNP/PE		GNP,			explored		
	istics of	EK .		the	201				
	graphene	composit		hardne	201	The	The	varying	The
	-based	es that have		ss and tensile	7	influenc e of	objective	filler	presen ce of
	composit es/PEEK	minimal		modul	[23	e of dental	of this research	amounts of TiO2	ce of TiO <sub>2</sub>
	are	wear and		us of	J	light-	was to	01 1102	particl
	detailed	low		elastici		curing	explore		es
	in this	friction		ty		technolo	the way		with
	section.	while yet		increas		gies on	different		differe
		keeping		ed.		the	light-		nt
		their				adherenc	curing		ranges
		strength				e of	units		of
		and				various	influenc		wavele
		uniformi				compone	e the		ngth
		ty.				nts of	stretchin		and
201	The	In this	The short	The		PEEK.	ess of		PEEK
9	influenc	work,	carbon	stress			different		may
[22	e of fiber supplem	the behavior	fiber reinforce	capacit y of			PEEK combina		have an
]	entation	of short	d PEEK	y of PEEK			tions		effect
	and	fiber-	and the	compo			during		on the
	manufac	reinforce	short	sites is			the		bond
	turing	d	glass	impro			polymeri		betwee
	techniqu	polyethe	fiber	ved by			zation of		n
	e on the	retherket	reinforce	raising			the		PEEK
	dynamic	one	d PEEK	the			Visiolin		and
	behavior	(PEEK)		strain			k		Visioli
	of PEEK	composit		rate.			adhesive		nk.
	composit	es under		Shorte	201	T	system		
	es.	dynamic		ning	201	Investiga	In this	short-	Comp
		compres sion is		the fiber's	3[2 4]	tion of the	research, the	cutting	ared to
		examine		length	4]	tribologi	mechani	glass fibers	pure PEEK,
		d.		may		cal	cal	110013	the
		Specific		boost		behavior	propertie		GF/PE
		ally, the		the		of PEEK	s and		EK
		impacts		PEEK'		and glass	tribologi		materi
		of strain		S		fiber in	cal		al has
		rate,		tensile		PEEK	behavior		a
		fiber		strengt		composit	of PEEK		greater
		addition,		h.		es.	with		tensile
		and					30%		strengt
		manufac					added		h,
		turing					glass		modul
		process					fiber and		us of
		are					pure		elastici

		PEEK at		tv					us of
		room		ty, strengt					stretch
		temperat		h and					iness
		ure were		modul					of the
		evaluate		us of					filled
		d.		flexure					compo
		d.		. The					sites
				temper					with
				ature					fly ash
				at					was
				which					larger
				weight					than
				loss					that of
				occurs					the
				in GF-					mica.
				RECs					
				is					The
				roughl					incorp
				y 75					oration
				degree					of
				S					fillers
				greater					led to
				than					a
				that of					consist
				PEEK					ent
				alone.					increas
201	Fly ash	The	Fillers	The					e in
0	and	purpose	are	modul					stretch
[25	micas	of this	compose	us of	200	DEELL	- TOT		iness.
]	integrate	study is	d of fly	stretch	200	PEEK .	The	The	Wheth
	d into	to .	ash with	iness	5[2	composit	objective	SiO <sub>2</sub> and	er
	polyethe	examine	a diameter	of	6]	es	of this	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> na	using
	retherket	the	diameter	PEEK-		compose	research	noparticl	silica
	one (DEEK)	influenc e of	of 44	fly ash		d of SiO2	was to	es.	or
	(PEEK)	e of filler	microns and	and mica		and	explore		alumin
	composit es.	particle	micas	combi		Al2O3	the way		a particl
	cs.	form on	with a	nation		sized at	presence		es, the
		the	diameter	s was		less than	of silica		tensile
		mechani	of 44	raised		1 µm	or		strengt
		cal and	microns	by		and	alumina		h,
		thermal	(hydrated	adding		integrate	particles		elastic
		qualities.	).	5-30		d into	affects		modul
			,·	percen		the	the non-		us, and
				-					
				The			condens		ss of
				modul			ation of		PEEK-
		•		t filler. The		matrix.	thermal condens		hardne ss of

PEEK	based
chains	nanoc
and how	ompos
to create	ites
PEEK	can be
composit	increas
es with	ed by
these	20-
particles	50%,
by	but the
simple	tensile
molding	elonga
without	tion is
altering	decrea
the	sed.
surface	The
	therma
	1
	stabilit
	y of
	the
	PEEK
	matrix
	can be
	enhanc
	ed by
	adding
	inorga
	nic
	additiv
	es.

### **Results and Discussion**

160 studies were selected based on the tile and abstracts. However, only 33 articles were selected based on this study ,s aim, and 13 studies were included in the review that were published between the years of 2005 and 2024. Reinforcing PEEK with various materials significantly enhances its mechanical properties, making it a strong candidate for orthodontic and dental applications.

Both carbon fiber and glass fiber (GF) improved hardness, flexural strength, and compressive strength; carbon fiber's strength improvements were comparable to those of human cortical bone.

- Titanium oxide and nano-SiO<sub>2</sub>: 20% titanium oxide improved tensile strength, while 3% nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> improved transverse strength. When combined, these substances enhanced overall mechanical performance.
- Graphene Oxide and Bioglass/Forsterite: Bioglass and forsterite enhanced flexural strength by 5–40%, while graphene oxide enhanced tensile bonding, flexural modulus, and wear resistance. However, performance was decreased by excessive loading (30%) of forsterite and bioglass.
- Hydrophobic Nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> and Calcium Silicate: Higher calcium silicate concentrations reduced flexural strength, whereas nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> enhanced microhardness and flexural strength.
- Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>: Added additional functional benefits by contributing significant antibacterial activity when added to PEEK/PEI blends under UV light.

The purpose of this review was to establish whether the addition of PEEK to dental materials meets the essential requirements for dental applications. A total of 13 distinct research types were reviewed during this research. These studies demonstrated the positive impact of reinforcements on the mechanical characteristics of PEEK.

In one study using glass fiber as reinforcing agent, the results showed that the mechanical properties of the composites improved as the fiber content increased, indicating effective reinforcement of the PEEK matrix. SEM analysis revealed that the fibers were uniformly coated with PEEK resin, with no noticeable fiber clustering, and voids were formed due to fiber pullout during bending[16]. The length, diameter, and volume fraction of the fiber significantly influence the mechanical behavior of the composite[27]. The PEEK-GF50 group exhibit a compressive strength of 264±18.01 MPa, a flexural strength of 350±2.88 MPa, a Vickers hardness of 47.62±4.54 HV, and an elastic modulus of 17.4±0.49 GPa-values closely resembling that of dentin (18.6)

GPa)[16]. In vitro cytotoxicity tests indicated all materials were safe. On the first day, PEEK-GF50 exhibited significantly higher cytotoxicity than pure PEEK( p > 0.05), possibly due to short-term toxicity from exposed glass fiber fragment. By the seventh day, significant difference was observed (p > 0.05), suggesting minimal long-term cytotoxic effects from glass fiber addition[28]. Further testing on the flexibility of SiO2/PEEK **PEEK** and composites, following ISO178:2010 standard, showed that 3% of nano-SiO2 significantly enhanced the transverse strength of PEEK[15]. Wang et al. (2011) reported a However, decrease in average tensile strength with the addition of nano-SiO<sub>2</sub> emphasizing mechanical strength is influenced by several factors including filler content, particle size, and load transfer efficiency. Dederichs et al.(2023) found that adding 20% of titanium oxide PEEK increased tensile strength more effectively than other concentration .SEM images revealed increased surface roughness when bioglass or forsterite was incorporated to the PEEK[18]. aligning with findings by [29]. Han et al.(2019) found that the mechanical results demonstrated that pure PEEK exhibited strength in tensile , bending, low compressive tests. Adding 5% carbon fiber to the PEEK mixture improved its strength making it similar to that of human cortical bone(14 GPa). Rikitoku et al., (2019) reported the outcomes of tensile bonding assessment augmentation that an filler(Sio2) content resulted in a substantial enhanced the tensile bonding strength values were observed before and after aging, and the flexural modulus exhibited an increase with rise in the silica content. The augmentation noted in the tensile-flexural modulus and hardness increase with the addition of graphene. The concentration of Nano platelets (GNP) appears to pertain to the inherent mechanical properties of the graphene. Nonetheless, a resilience .The reduction is also a consequence of the GNP dispersion within the matrix. Notably, GNP fillers exert a beneficial effect on the coefficient

of friction and more precisely on wear elevate this reported in Puértolas et al., (2019). Chen et al., (2019) found that the neat PEEK matrix demonstrated negligible sensitivity to strain rate regarding its compressive strength. However, PEEK composite with short fibers showed stronger compressive strength when the strain rate went up, which means that adding fibers helps them perform better under sudden loads. The addition of 20% bioglass and forsterite improved flexural strength by 5-40% compared to pure PEEK, while 30% loading significantly reduced performance [30]. supporting earlier research suggesting lower filler concentrations are more beneficial [31]. Abd El-Fattah et al. (2021)demonstrated improvements microhardness, flexural strength, and elastic modulus with 10% hydrophobic nano-SiO2. Conversely ,Kim et al.( 2009) reported a 20.84% drop in flexural strength with 30 vol% calcium silicate. Najeeb et al., (2016) found that 5% carbon fiber addition achieved an elastic modulus (~14 GPa) comparable to cortical bone. Lümkemann et al., (2017) noted that 20%TiO2 content resulted in the highest bond strength, indicating a correlation between filler composition and bonding performance. Antibacterial testing of nano-TiO2 embedded PEEK/PEI blends showed significant bacterial suppression under 365 nm light, confirming pervious findings[32],[33]. Li et al (2013) found That adding 30 % chopped fibers enhanced mechanical properties. Parvaiz et al.,(2010) reported peak tensile strength at 20% filler (fly ash and mica), followed by decline with higher content. Similarly, the inclusion of nano-SiO2 and Al2O3 enhanced tensile strength, hardness, and elastic modulus but reduced elongation[26]. Several studies have confirmed improved mechanical properties with reinforcement, others reported no significant effects.

Reinforcing PEEK with suitable materials significantly enhances its mechanical properties , making it a strong candidate for orthodontic fixed retainer . The improvements in strength , elasticity , hardness , and bonding performance align with the mechanical demands of long-term

retention in orthodontics. However, the type and concentration of reinforcement must be carefully optimized to avoid compromising flexibility or biocompatibility. As a result, reinforced PEEK offers a promising metal-free alternative in orthodontic applications, particularly for patients seeking esthetic and biocompatible options.

## Conclusions

- •Moderate filler content (typically around 5-20%) optimized mechanical performance, with excessive filler loading may negative affect material toughness and flexibility.
- •Reinforcements help tailor the elastic modulus of PEEK to match that of natural dental tissues, reducing stress concentrations and improving biomechanical compatibility.
- •Surface morphology enhancements due to nano-fillers can improve bonding strength with adhesives, contributing to long-term retainer stability.
- •Most reinforced PEEK composites exhibit good biocompatibility, with minimal cytotoxic effects and in some cases added antibacterial properties.
- •Overall, reinforced PEEK combines the necessary strength. biocompatibility, and esthetic properties required for fixed orthodontic retainers, providing a reliable, metal-free alternative to traditional metallic appliances of reinforcement material must be carefully controlled to achieve the optimal balance between mechanical performance and biological safety.
- •However, there are certain limitations that should be acknowledged. More clinical studies are needed to confirm the effectiveness and durability of reinforced PEEK retainers under real-life oral condition. The long-term effects on periodontal health, wear resistance, and patient outcomes remain insufficiently understood, and further in vivo research is necessary establish clear clinical to recommendations.

# Acknowledgment

I want to convey my appreciation to my husband and family and Dr. Fakri A. Al-Fatlawi and Dr. Wael A. Jabaar their assistance in completing this work.

# References

- [1] A. S. Kadhum and A. F. Alhuwaizi, "The efficacy of polyether-ether-ketone wire as a retainer following orthodontic treatment," Clinical and experimental dental research, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 302-312, 2021.
- [2] A. Y. Alqutaibi et al., "Applications, modifications, and manufacturing of polyetheretherketone (PEEK) in dental implantology: A comprehensive critical review," International Materials Reviews, p. 09506608251314251, 2025.
- [3] P. P. Win, D. D.-S. Chen, B. Sainbayar, T.-Y. Peng, and J. H.-C. Cheng, "Assessment of mechanical characteristics of polyetheretherketone as orthodontic fixed lingual retainers," Journal of Dental Sciences, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 1804-1811, 2023.
- [4] T. G. Shaughnessy, W. R. Proffit, and S. A. Samara, "Inadvertent tooth movement with fixed lingual retainers," American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, vol. 149, no. 2, pp. 277-286, 2016.
- [5] M. Paglia, M. Beretta, V. Quinzi, and S. Colombo, "PEEK polymer in orthodontics: A scoping review," Eur. J. Paediatr. Dent, vol. 23, pp. 137-139, 2022.
- [6] A. K. Çulhaoğlu, S. E. Özkır, V. Şahin, B. Yılmaz, and M. A. Kılıçarslan, "Effect of various treatment modalities on surface characteristics and shear bond strengths of polyetheretherketone-based core materials," Journal of Prosthodontics, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 136-141, 2020.
- [7] P. A. Zecca et al., "Failed Orthodontic PEEK Retainer: A Scanning Electron Microscopy Analysis and a Possible Failure Mechanism in a Case Report," Dentistry Journal, vol. 12, no. 7, 2024.
- [8] L. Porojan, F. R. Toma, M. I. Bîrdeanu,



- R. D. Vasiliu, I.-D. Uţu, and A. Matichescu, "Surface characteristics and color stability of dental PEEK related to water saturation and thermal cycling," Polymers, vol. 14, no. 11, p. 2144, 2022.
- [9] L. Bathala, V. Majeti, N. Rachuri, N. Singh, and S. Gedela, "The role of polyether ether ketone (PEEK) in dentistry—a review," Journal of medicine and life, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 5, 2019.
- [10] V. B. Benakatti, J. A. Sajjanar, and A. Acharya, "Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) in dentistry," J Clin Diagn Res, vol. 13, no. 8, 2019.
- [11] A. Abd El-Fattah, H. Youssef, M. A. H. Gepreel, R. Abbas, and S. Kandil, "Surface morphology and mechanical properties of polyether ether ketone (PEEK) nanocomposites reinforced by nano-sized silica (SiO2) for prosthodontics and restorative dentistry," Polymers, vol. 13, no. 17, p. 3006, 2021.
- "Preparation, [12] R. Ma et al., characterization, and osteoblast in vitro functions of nanohydroxyapatite/polyetheretherketone biocomposite as orthopedic implant material," International journal of nanomedicine, pp. 3949-3961, 2014.
- J. C. Souza et al., "PEEK-matrix composites containing different content of natural silica fibers or particulate lithium-zirconium silicate glass fillers: Coefficient of friction and wear volume measurements," Biotribology, vol. 100147, 2020.
- [14] L. Qin et al., "Review on Development and Dental Applications of Polyetheretherketone-Based Biomaterials and Restorations," (in eng), Materials (Basel, Switzerland), vol. 14, no. 2, Jan 15 2021, doi: 10.3390/ma14020408.
- [15] H. M. Saeed and R. K. Jassim, "Characterization and Assessment of PEEK/Silicon Dioxide Composite," International journal of dentistry, vol. 2023, no. 1, p. 3343071, 2023.
- [16] T. Zhao et al., "Mechanical properties,

- biosafety, and shearing bonding strength of glass fiber–reinforced PEEK composites used as post-core materials," Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials, vol. 145, p. 106047, 2023.
- [17] M. Dederichs et al., "An Explorative Evaluation on the Influence of Filler Content of Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) on Adhesive Bond to Different Luting Resin Cements," Journal of Composites Science, vol. 7, no. 11, p. 456, 2023.
- [18] N. Taymour, A. E. Fahmy, M. A. H. Gepreel, S. Kandil, and A. A. El-Fattah, mechanical "Improved properties bioactivity of silicate based bioceramics reinforced poly (ether-ether-ketone) nanocomposites for prosthetic dental implantology," Polymers, vol. 14, no. 8, p. 1632, 2022.
- [19] X. Han et al., "Carbon fiber reinforced PEEK composites based on 3D-printing technology for orthopedic and dental applications," Journal of clinical medicine, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 240, 2019.
- [20] S. Rikitoku, S. Otake, K. Nozaki, K. Yoshida, and H. Miura, "Influence of SiO2 content of polyetheretherketone (PEEK) on flexural properties and tensile bond strength to resin cement," Dental materials journal, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 464-470, 2019.
- [21] J. Puértolas, M. Castro, J. Morris, R. Ríos, and A. Ansón-Casaos, "Tribological and mechanical properties of graphene nanoplatelet/PEEK composites," Carbon, vol. 141, pp. 107-122, 2019.
- [22] C. Chen, C. Zhang, Z. Zhao, Y. Wang, S.-C. Wong, and Y. Li, "Effect of fiber reinforcement and fabrication process on the dynamic compressive behavior of PEEK composites," International Journal of Mechanical Sciences, vol. 155, pp. 170-177, 2019.
- [23] N. Lümkemann, M. Eichberger, and B. Stawarczyk, "Bonding to different PEEK compositions: The impact of dental light curing units," Materials, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 67, 2017.
- [24] E. Li, W. Guo, H. Wang, B. Xu, and X.



- Liu, "Research on tribological behavior of PEEK and glass fiber reinforced PEEK composite," Physics Procedia, vol. 50, pp. 453-460, 2013.
- [25] M. R. Parvaiz, S. Mohanty, S. K. Nayak, and P. Mahanwar, "Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) composites reinforced with fly ash and mica," Journal of Minerals and Materials Characterization and Engineering, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 25-41, 2010.
- [26] M. Kuo, C. Tsai, J. Huang, and M. Chen, "PEEK composites reinforced by nanosized SiO2 and Al2O3 particulates," Materials chemistry and physics, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 185-195, 2005.
- [27] P. Wang et al., "Preparation of short CF/GF reinforced PEEK composite filaments and their comprehensive properties evaluation for FDM-3D printing," Composites Part B: Engineering, vol. 198, p. 108175, 2020.
- [28] W. Qin, Y. Li, J. Ma, Q. Liang, and B. Tang, "Mechanical properties and cytotoxicity of hierarchical carbon fiber-reinforced poly (ether-ether-ketone) composites used as implant materials," Journal of the mechanical behavior of biomedical materials, vol. 89, pp. 227-233, 2019.
- [29] R. Ma and T. Tang, "Current strategies to improve the bioactivity of PEEK," International journal of molecular sciences, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 5426-5445, 2014.
- [30] S. Joseph, S. K. Venkatraman, N. Vijayakumar, M. S. Collin, and S. Swamiappan, "Investigation on the compatibility of forsterite for tissue engineering application," Materials Letters, vol. 308, p. 131188, 2022.
- [31] A. T. Shah et al., "Effect of calcium hydroxide on mechanical strength and biological properties of bioactive glass," journal of the mechanical behavior of biomedical materials, vol. 61, pp. 617-626, 2016.
- [32] X. Chen and S. S. Mao, "Titanium dioxide nanomaterials: synthesis, properties, modifications, and applications," Chemical reviews, vol. 107, no. 7, pp. 2891-2959, 2007.
- [33] A. L. Neal, "What can be inferred from bacterium—nanoparticle interactions about the

potential consequences of environmental exposure to nanoparticles?," Ecotoxicology, vol. 17, pp. 362-371, 2008.

