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ABSTRACT
The removal of third molars is the most common procedure in oral surgery. It may be associated with minor complications such as pain, dry socket, infection, and major complications such as sensory disturbances and iatrogenic damage to the 2nd molar or mandibular fractures.

Aim: The aim of this study was to evaluate the incidence of various complications, including pain, alveolitis, infection and damage to the inferior alveolar and lingual nerve that may occur during or after surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molars.

Materials and methods: This prospective study took place between March 1st, 2013 and January 29th, 2014 and it was done by the author. The sample consisted of 152 patients (90 males and 62 females with an age range of 20 – 45 years). The patients underwent surgical removal of a completely or partially impacted lower wisdom tooth. All impacted third molars in the study were asymptomatic at the time of surgery. A standardized case sheet was filled for each patient and the patients were informed to register the pain and any complications in these sheets.

Results: The overall complication rate was 35 patients (23.02%). Twenty-three (15%) patients developed moderate to severe pain, immediately after the operation lasting till the 3rd or 4th day postoperatively. Five patients (3.42%) developed localized osteitis, four patients (2.73%) developed postoperative oozing that lasted for 5 days, and three cases (1.97%) developed postoperative infection. None of the patients developed postoperative lingual nerve or inferior alveolar nerve dysfunction.

Conclusion: Postoperative pain is the main complication after surgical removal of impacted lower wisdom teeth and alveolar osteitis is the second most important complication. Inferior alveolar nerve, lingual nerve damage and bleeding are very rare complications. The complications can be minimized by careful surgical extraction, postoperative medication and following postoperative instructions.
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INTRODUCTION
The third mandibular molars are the most frequently impacted teeth in the human body (1).

Impaction can be defined as the tooth that fails to erupt into a proper, functional position in the dental arch within the expected time (2). Retention of the impacted teeth may be associated with complications,
including neoplasm, cysts, fracture of the mandible and injury to the adjacent teeth usually through pathological root resorption or development of periodontitis, etc. (3).

Studies have shown that patients with retained impacted third molars are significantly more susceptible to mandibular angle fracture (1, 4); therefore, many oral surgeons in America and Europe (5) consider prophylactic extraction of impacted teeth the ideal approach.

Many classifications have been suggested in order to predict the difficulty, postoperative complications and the treatment plan. Pell and Gregory (6) classified the depth of the impaction into two categories. The first category indicates the actual level or depth of the impacted lower 3rd molar and as follows:

1. Level A
   The impacted tooth occlusal plane is at the same level as the second molar occlusal plane.

2. Level B
   The impacted tooth occlusal plane is located between the occlusal plane and the cervical line of the second molar.

3. Level C
   The impacted tooth occlusal plane is located below the cervical line of the second molar.

Winter (7) suggested a classification of the angulation of the third molar based on the relationship of the impacted tooth on the long axis of the second molar.

Fig 1: Demonstrate the level of impaction according to Pell and Gregory classification system (6)

Fig 2: Demonstrate lower 3rd molar angulation. A- mesioangular impaction, B- distoangular impaction, C- Horizontal impaction, C-vertical impaction

The extraction of a wisdom tooth is one of the most common procedures performed in oral surgery units. The procedure is associated with a low complication rate and most of them are minor. These complications include pain, dry socket, infection, hemorrhage and nerve damage. Less common complications include
iatrogenic mandibular fractures, iatrogenic damage to the adjacent second molar and severe trismus. \(^8\)

Hemorrhage during or after surgery and paresthesia of the inferior dental nerve and lingual nerve are relatively rare. \(^9, 10\)

Pain is a subjective unpleasant emotional experience triggered by noxious stimuli that may or may not be associated with actual tissue damages; therefore, its measurement is difficult. However, some authors \(^11, 12\) used pain measurement scales like four-point scale and visual analogue scale (VAS).

Dry socket is postoperative pain in and around the extraction site, which increases in severity at any time between 1 and 3 days after the extraction accompanied by a partially or totally disintegrated blood clot within the alveolar socket with or without halitosis \(^13\). The specific aim of this investigation was to identify the types and frequency of complications after mandibular 3rd molar extractions and the relation with the level and angulation of impaction.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This prospective clinical study took place between March 1st, 2013 and January 29th, 2014 and the researcher did it at the Private Dental practice and Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department College of Dentistry, Tikrit University. The sample included 152 patients (90 females and 62 males with an age range of 20 – 45 years) who underwent surgical removal of completely or partially impacted lower 3rd molars. The patients were healthy and their impacted third molars were asymptomatic at the time of surgery.

A standardized case sheet was filled for each patient; with a special paper designed for recording pain according to Visual Analog Scale (VAS), a scale starts from 0 to 10 grades in which 0 represents no pain, while 10 represents the worst possible pain.

For each patient, a panoramic ORTHOPANTOGRAM (OPG) image was taken preoperatively to determine the status of wisdom teeth, preoperative prophylactic antibiotic was given (Augmentin 1 gm) twice daily, the first tablet was given one hour before the procedures and followed by a daily regimen of antibiotic with Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drug (NSAID) for 5 days postoperatively. Two carpules of local anesthesia were given (lidocaine 2%, adrenaline 1:00000), a standard two sided flap on the buccal aspect was done, avoiding reflection of lingual mucoperiosteum, bone removal was done under copious irrigation with normal saline and povidone iodine, tooth sectioning was done when indicated (mesio-angular impaction or horizontal impaction).

After the extraction, the bony irregularity was smoothed or removed with emphasis on primary closure of the operation site during suturing with 3/0 black silk suture, with the application of chlorexidine gel on the suture line.

The patients were instructed to rinse their mouth with chlohexidine twice a day, to refrain from smoking during the postoperative period, to register the pain and any other complications in standard paper, and they were recalled after 7 days for suture removal.

**The inclusion criteria are:**
- All patients were medically fit with no systemic disease.
- One impacted tooth was extracted at each visit.
- For all procedures 2 carpules local anesthesia were used.
- All molars were asymptomatic at the time of surgery.
- For all patients two-sided flap was done.
- The irrigation was done with normal saline and povidone iodine.

**The exclusion criteria are:**
- Lingual flap reflection or lingual split technique.
- Any patient with recent infection or pericoronitis in the last 2 weeks.
- Pregnant or lactating patients.
- Cases of ankylosis.
- Cases with concomitant extraction of lower seven.
- Operation that irrigated with other than normal saline and povidone iodine.
- Any flap other than two-sided flap.
- Pathological condition.

**Impaction Case Sheet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient’s Name:</th>
<th>Patient Age:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>Sex:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address (including telephone number):</td>
<td>Chief Complaint:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical History:</td>
<td>Classification of Impaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A- Pre-Operative Classification (Pell–Gregory):**
- Level A: ( )
- Level B: ( )
- Level C: ( )

**B- Winter classification**
- 1- Vertical
- 2- Mesioangular
- 3- Diствоangular
- 4- Horizontal

Remarks:
RESULTS

The overall complication rate was encountered in thirty-five “35” patients (23.02%), 19 females (21.5%) and 16 males (25.8%), as seen in Table 1.

Twenty-three patients (15%), 13 females and 10 males developed pain which is moderate to severe and ranging (5-8) with the mean of 6.2, the pain started after the operation and reach the peak in the 1st postoperative day then start to decline after and disappear by 4th and 5th postoperative day.

Five patients (3.42%) (3 females and 2 males) developed localized osteitis according to the definition of dry socket required active local measure with normal saline irrigation and insertion of algovyl in the socket.

Four patients (2.73%) (2 females and 2 males) developed postoperative bleeding that lasted for 5 days postoperatively. Three 3 (1.97%) patients (1 female and 2 males) developed postoperative infection. None developed postoperative lingual or inferior alveolar nerve dysfunction.

The highest complication was seen in mesioangular impaction (9.86%), while the lowest was seen in the distoangular impaction (about 0.65%) as seen clearly in Table 2.

The highest complication in the present study was seen in level C impaction (11.18%) and the lowest for level A impaction (3.28%), as seen clearly in Table 2.

Table 1: Postoperative complications according to gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Female number</th>
<th>Male number</th>
<th>N&amp;% out of sample</th>
<th>% out of complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Over all complication</td>
<td>19 (21.5%)</td>
<td>16 (25.8%)</td>
<td>35 (23.02%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>13 (14.44%)</td>
<td>10 (16.1%)</td>
<td>23 (15%)</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localized osteitis</td>
<td>3 (3.33%)</td>
<td>2 (3.22%)</td>
<td>5 (3.42%)</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post operative bleeding</td>
<td>2 (2.22%)</td>
<td>2 (3.22%)</td>
<td>4 (2.73%)</td>
<td>11.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post operative infection</td>
<td>1 (1.11%)</td>
<td>2 (3.22%)</td>
<td>3 (1.97%)</td>
<td>8.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAN&amp;LN damage</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Number and percentage of surgically extracted mandibular wisdom molars according to type of angulation and postoperative complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of impaction</th>
<th>Horizontal N=19 (13%)</th>
<th>Vertical N=66 (45%)</th>
<th>Mesioangular N=51 (34.9%)</th>
<th>Distoangular N=10 (6.8%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incidence of impaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry socket</td>
<td>1 (5.26%)</td>
<td>2 (3.03%)</td>
<td>2 (3.9%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>1 (5.27%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (3.9%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>5 (26.3)</td>
<td>7 (10.6%)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding</td>
<td>1 (5.2)</td>
<td>2 (3.03%)</td>
<td>1 (1.9%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve injury</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications out of angulation</td>
<td>8 (42.1%)</td>
<td>11 (16.66%)</td>
<td>15 (29.4%)</td>
<td>1 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications out of sample</td>
<td>5.26%</td>
<td>7.23%</td>
<td>9.86%</td>
<td>0.65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Postoperative complications according to impacted lower wisdom tooth level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impactation level</th>
<th>Dry socket</th>
<th>infection</th>
<th>pain</th>
<th>bleeding</th>
<th>Nerve damage</th>
<th>Complication level/sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level B</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level C</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Several studies have been written concerning the appropriate management of impacted wisdom teeth, with conflicting reports of its efficacy and complications. Retention of the impacted teeth may be associated with complications that may include neoplasm, cysts, fracture of mandible and injury to adjacent teeth etc (3). Therefore, many surgeons in America and Europe (4) have advocated prophylactic surgical removal of impacted teeth.
Several articles were written concerning surgical removal of impacted lower 3rd molars all around the world trying to identify the risk factors related to the procedures and the methods that minimize the complications.

Factors that may be correlated with these complications, such as:

- Factors that cannot be changed or modified such as gender, age, angulation and the level of impaction.
- Factors can be eliminated or modified such as alcohol consumption, smoking, oral contraceptives, preexisting inflammatory condition, oral hygiene, antibiotics, corticosteroids, type of the flap and its extension, bone removal, and the surgeon experience. In the current study the researcher tried to reduce or eliminate this group of factors by compressing bony lining of the socket, thus compromising vascular penetration and the perfusion of the surgical site and this is in agreement with finding of a previous study reached the peak on the first postoperative day, and this is in agreement with detection of in experienced dentist, in this point researchers agreed that pain and empty alveolus are found in all patients with alveolar osteitis and that what has been found in this study and this is in agreement with detection of in experienced dentist, in this point researchers agreed that pain and empty alveolus are found in all patients with alveolar osteitis and that what has been found in this study.

The VAS, has been used in the current study for evaluation of pain, which considered as a sensitive and reliable method for recording pain. As demonstrated clearly by Berge and Caso A et al. Therefore complications are inevitable, the surgeon work on the ways to minimize the complications.

The overall complications rate was 23.02%, and this is in consistence with incidence reported by many studies in which the overall complications range (4.4 to 30.9%) and most of the complications are mild and temporary.

The overall complication rate for female was 21.5%, while for male 8%. This difference can be attributed in part to that, female follow the postoperative instruction while most of male reported to be careless with smoking after the operation that revealed clearly after the questioner and females on contraceptive were excluded from the study.

Twenty-three patients (15%) developed pain and this is in agreement with Rosfaima Othman et al. This pain reflect inflammatory response to the trauma with release of pain mediator and its magnitude correspond with amount of trauma and duration of operation. In this study as it is demonstrated in table 1 and 2 the pain incidence in more common with mesio-angular impaction and with impaction in Level c, in both the amount of bone removal “surgical trauma “ and the difficulty of extraction is the greatest and this in accordance with many studies. The intensity of postoperative pain in the current study reached the peak on the first postoperative day, and this is in agreement with finding of a previous studies. The pain then started to reduce gradually.

Alveolar osteitis characterized by a severe throbbing pain that usually begins 3 to 5 days postoperatively. By this time, most of the pain and swelling associated with surgical trauma should disappear, and residual radiating pain to the ear is a common complaint in patients with alveolar osteitis. Trauma is the major cause that lead to delayed wound healing through compromising vascularity of the surgical site. The greater the trauma and difficulty in extraction the greater risk of developing alveolar osteitis and this can be seen clearly in the current study as highest complications are associated with level C impaction where that amount of trauma is the greatest. Blondeau and Daniel found that alveolitis occur in about (3.6%) in the author reported only (3.42%) incidence of dry socket.

The complication of dry socket value was low when compared to previous data collected from other studies. This may be attributed in part to the following:

- The differences in diagnostic criteria and the methods of assessment. Several authors have agreed that pain and empty alveolus are found in all patients with alveolar osteitis and that what has been found in this study.
- The most important variable listed in the literatures been fixed which is multiple operators in the present research the author eliminate this variable as well as the factor of in experienced dentist, in this point the author agree with François Blondeau and this is in agreement with detection of in experienced dentist.
- Avoidance of lingual flap reflection with the aim to reduce the trauma and preserve the blood supply to extraction site. The rate of alveolar osteitis is significantly affected by lingual flap retraction.
- Every effort was done to get primary closure of the socket site.
- Copious irrigation with normal saline and povidone iodine may be of value.
• Suture line coverage with chlorhexidine ointment in combination with mouth washes with chlorhexidine was prescribed. The effect of chlorhexidine in reduction of alveolar osteitis is in agreement with Caso et al \(^\text{[39]}\).

• Female on oral contraceptives were excluded from this study, this could explain the finding that gender had no relation to the incidence of alveolar osteitis.

The frequency of clinically significant bleeding was 2.73% and this is in agreement with reported ranges of 0.2% to 5.8%.\(^\text{[41]}\)

Postoperative infection was seen in 1.97% of sample and diagnosed by intense pain, lymph adenopathy, fever and/or purulent discharge. Its was within the range that reported in literature which lies between 1.0 (Hochwald \textit{et al}\(^\text{[42]}\)) and 12.6 (Nordenram et al \(^\text{[43]}\)).

No case of lingual nerve and inferior alveolar nerve injury had been seen in this study, while the inferior alveolar nerve and lingual nerve injury level reported in the literature ranges from 0% to 23%.\(^\text{[44,45]}\)

In the current study due to avoidance of reflection of lingual mucoperiostium and the distance between the impacted 3rd molar and inferior dental canal was more than 1.5 mm there for I avoid injury to lingual and inferior alveolar nerve, respectively.

**CONCLUSION**

Postoperative pain is the main minor complication; alveolar osteitis is the second most important complication, while inferior alveolar nerve and lingual nerve damage are very rare complications. Perioperative medications, both topical and systemic, careful surgical extraction by trained surgeons, and postoperative instructions proved to have impact on prognosis through minimizing the complications associated with surgery.
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